

ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY



Black Hawk Helicopter Vibration Analysis Due to Main Rotor Damage, Directional Constituents of the Resultant Vibrations

by Joseph C. Fries

ARL-TR-2442

March 2001

20010615 071

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of manufacturer's or trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use thereof.

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

Army Research Laboratory

Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5068

ARL-TR-2442

March 2001

Black Hawk Helicopter Vibration Analysis Due to Main Rotor Damage, Directional Constituents of the Resultant Vibrations

Joseph C. Fries

Survivability/Lethality Analysis Directorate, ARL

Abstract

Black Hawk helicopter cockpit vibrations due to main rotor damage are presented based upon an analysis and computer code originally developed in-house in the Air Systems Branch (ASB) of the U.S. Army Research Laboratory (ARL). Ballistic damage is simulated by removing various amounts of the outer radius of one blade of the rotor set of four blades. This simulated blade damage causes the rotor to be unbalanced allowing undesirable vibrations to be transmitted into the fixed-system airframe. This work considers the longitudinal, lateral, and vertical cockpit amplitude and phase components of the vibrations that make up the total vector vibrations that a cockpit occupant would feel. If the vibrations are severe enough, they could lead to attrition of the aircraft. This vibration data can be used in conjunction with historical human-vibration-tolerance data for later work toward determining a pilot's capability to function in the helicopter cockpit vibration environment.

Contents

List of Figures	v
List of Tables	vii
1. Introduction	1
2. Presentation of Fixed-System Vibration Data	1
3. Ranking of the Constituent Vibrations	6
4. Discussion and Results	7
5. Conclusions	7
6. References	9
Distribution List	11
Report Documentation Page	15

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

List of Figures

Figure 1. Cockpit resultant vibrations G's vs. percent of blade removed.....	2
Figure 2. 1/Rev cockpit constituent vibrations G's vs. percent of blade removed.	3
Figure 3. 2/Rev cockpit constituent vibrations G's vs. percent of blade removed.	4
Figure 4. 3/Rev cockpit constituent vibrations G's vs. percent of blade removed.	4
Figure 5. 4/Rev cockpit constituent vibrations G's vs. percent of blade removed.	5
Figure 6. 5/Rev cockpit constituent vibrations G's vs. percent of blade removed.	5

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

List of Tables

Table 1. Directional vibration constituents.....	6
Table 2. Cumulative directional vibration constituents.	6

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

1. Introduction

A helicopter-vibration computer code (RTVIB20) was developed by the author [1] to analyze vibrations transmitted into the fixed system by a variety of main rotor-blade damages. In this present study, this code is used to investigate Black Hawk helicopter cockpit vibrations due to the removal of outer sections of one blade of the rotor set of four blades. Such a condition may be the result of ballistic damages. The cockpit vibrations are examined in resultant form for each harmonic from 1/Rev (revolution) to 5/Rev and then in directional constituent cosine and sine form. The harmonic component of the vibrations (fixed system) can originate from harmonics in the rotating blade system that are either of the same harmonic number as transmitted to the fixed system or a rotating harmonic shifted 1/Rev above or below the center frequency.

When the set of rotor blades is undamaged, the blades are called "matched" and cause an effect known as "filtering" to occur. Filtering blocks certain frequencies from being transmitted from the blades into the fuselage (fixed system). Only blade frequencies that are integer multiples of the number of blades can be transmitted from the rotor blades to the fuselage. For instance, for the Black Hawk helicopter with four blades comprising the main rotor, only 4/Rev, 8/Rev, 12/Rev, etc., vibrations can be transmitted.

However, if one or more blades are damaged thus making them have different physical properties from the others, all frequencies are transmitted into the fixed system that allows these unwanted frequencies to produce detrimental cockpit vibrations. These can cause structural fatigue and pilot-physiological debilitating effects. As an adjunct, historical human tolerance to vibration data can be integrated with the vibration data in this report to predict the effect on a pilot and his ability to continue to operate the aircraft.

The RTVIB20 code is documented in U.S. Army Research Laboratory (ARL) report TR-75 [1] and requires a GWBASIC compiler to execute. Later in 1996, RTVIB20 was updated to accommodate a helicopter tied to the ground with springs and dampers and named RTVIB21. Such a condition is applicable to helicopter ballistic vulnerability testing.

For this study, the RTVIB20 computer code was run for a Black Hawk helicopter simulation at 258-rpm main rotor speed, 16,260-lb gross weight, 160-kn forward speed, at a 4,000-ft pressure altitude, on a 95 °F day.

2. Presentation of Fixed-System Vibration Data

First, the resultant vibrations are shown in Figure 1 as G's (gravitational pull) vs. percent of the removal of the outer blade section.




Figure 1. Cockpit resultant vibrations G's vs. percent of blade removed.

There is a predominate 1/Rev frequency getting through to the cockpit that ordinarily would be filtered out for undamaged blades of the set of four, and there is also a significant 2/Rev. The higher frequencies have low amplitudes, and it should be noted that the 4/Rev would be the only frequency transmitted if no damage were present.

Next, the individual harmonics are viewed one at a time, and the directional cosine and sine components are distinguished. In Figure 2, the legend is defined as follows:

- LNC = Longitudinal Cosine Vibration
- LNS = Longitudinal Sine Vibration
- LTC = Lateral Cosine Vibration
- LTS = Lateral Sine Vibration
- VTC = Vertical Cosine Vibration
- VTS = Vertical Sine Vibration

From Figure 1, it was found that the 1/Rev is the biggest resultant vibration, and the makeup in Figure 2 shows that the vertical cosine component is the biggest constituent followed by the lateral sine. The longitudinal cosine and vertical sine follow next in absolute amplitude. In a normal undamaged rotor, none of these 1/Rev vibrations would occur for a 4-bladed rotor.




Figure 2. 1/Rev cockpit constituent vibrations G's vs. percent of blade removed.

Next in order are the 2/Rev vibrations illustrated in Figure 3. In a normal undamaged rotor, none of these 2/Rev vibrations would occur for a 4-bladed rotor.

Next are the 3/Rev vibrations illustrated in Figure 4. In a normal undamaged rotor, none of these 3/Rev vibrations would occur for a 4-bladed rotor.

The 4/Rev vibrations are illustrated in Figure 5. Notice that the vibrations for the undamaged blade (at 0% blade removed) are not zero. This is significant and points to the fact that 4/Rev is an integer number of the blades in the rotor and is not filtered out; it is an inherent vibration that always exists. Also notice that the amplitudes of these inherent vibrations are relatively low compared to the 1/Rev that exists when a blade is damaged. These are inherent 4/Rev vibrations that are always present in the cockpit for a 4-bladed rotor.

Finally, the 5/Rev vibrations are illustrated in Figure 6. The amplitudes are very small, which is as expected for the higher frequencies. Therefore, there is no need to continue to list the higher frequencies. None of these vibrations would occur for an undamaged 4-bladed rotor.




Figure 3. 2/Rev cockpit constituent vibrations G's vs. percent of blade removed.




Figure 4. 3/Rev cockpit constituent vibrations G's vs. percent of blade removed.




Figure 5. 4/Rev cockpit constituent vibrations G's vs. percent of blade removed.




Figure 6. 5/Rev cockpit constituent vibrations G's vs. percent of blade removed.

3. Ranking of the Constituent Vibrations

In order to make sense of the data, ranking is needed for the constituent vibrations to determine which are the dominant vibration directions for each harmonic. Table 1 ranks the data with 6 representing the largest amplitude and 1 representing the smallest amplitude for each harmonic.

Table 1. Directional vibration constituents.

Weighted Numbers →	6	5	4	3	2	1
1/Rev	VTC	LTS	LNC	VTs	LNS	LTC
2/Rev	LTS	VTs	VTC	LTC	LNC	LNS
3/Rev	LTS	VTs	LTC	LNC	VTC	LNS
4/Rev	LTC	VTC	LTS	VTs	LNS	LNC
5/Rev	LTS	VTs	VTC	LNC	LTC	LNS

To get an idea which direction occurs most frequently among all the contributors from Table 1, a cumulative number can be determined by adding the weighted numbers for each direction. This number is calculated and shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Cumulative directional vibration constituents.

Direction	Cumulative Weight
LTS	27
VTs	21
VTC	21
LTC	16
LNC	13
LNS	7

From Tables 1 and 2, it can be seen that the lateral and vertical vibrations are dominant, but the longitudinal vibrations are relatively unimportant.

4. Discussion and Results

From Figure 1, it is seen that the dominant cockpit vibration comes from 1/Rev, which is the frequency of the main rotor (1×258 rpm) followed by the 2/Rev (2×258 rpm) in amplitude. As the frequency increases, the amplitude of vibration decreases as would be expected except if there is no resonance in the system. A separate study would be needed to determine how these vibrations might fatigue the structure of the aircraft or affect a pilot's ability to fly the aircraft.

As the damage of one blade of the rotor set increases by increasingly more of the outer blade being removed, the vibrations get worse as would be expected. All of the vibrations except the 4/Rev go through the origin of the charts because, in the undamaged state, the frequencies are filtered out and are not transmitted to the fuselage. This is the natural state of the helicopter. The only natural inherent vibration of an undamaged helicopter are the 4/Revs.

Figure 1 shows the cockpit vibration to be above 2 G's for the high-speed condition with half of one blade's outer portion lost. Further performance analysis would be needed to determine the helicopter's residual capability to survive or the pilot's capability to perform. Helicopter first principles can be found in works by Chopra [2], McCormick [3], and Saunders [4].

5. Conclusions

This analysis demonstrates an analytical capability to predict helicopter fixed-system vibrations to be used in the survivability estimation of rotary wing aircraft. It uses first principles of physics to develop a viable analysis and computer code and can be used in conjunction with structural analysis and human-vibration tolerance data to determine the performance state of a helicopter after suffering main rotor damage.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

6. References

1. Fries, J. "Helicopter Forced Response Vibration Analysis RTVIB20." ARL-TR-75, U.S. Army Research Laboratory, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD, 1992.
2. Chopra, I. "Notes on Helicopter Dynamics." University of Maryland, 1992.
3. McCormick, B. *Aerodynamics of V/STOL Flight*. New York, NY: Academic Press, Inc., 1967.
4. Saunders, G. *Dynamics of Helicopter Flight*. New York, NY: John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1975.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>	<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
2	DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER DTIC OCA 8725 JOHN J KINGMAN RD STE 0944 FT BELVOIR VA 22060-6218	1	DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL CI AI R 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1197
1	HQDA DAMO FDT 400 ARMY PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20310-0460	3	DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL CI LL 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1197
1	OSD OUSD(A&T)/ODDR&E(R) DR R J TREW 3800 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20301-3800	3	DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL CI IS T 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1197
1	COMMANDING GENERAL US ARMY MATERIEL CMD AMCRDA TF 5001 EISENHOWER AVE ALEXANDRIA VA 22333-0001		<u>ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND</u>
1	INST FOR ADVNCD TCHNLGY THE UNIV OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN 3925 W BRAKER LN STE 400 AUSTIN TX 78759-5316	2	DIR USARL AMSRL CI LP (BLDG 305)
1	DARPA SPECIAL PROJECTS OFFICE J CARLINI 3701 N FAIRFAX DR ARLINGTON VA 22203-1714		
1	US MILITARY ACADEMY MATH SCI CTR EXCELLENCE MADN MATH MAJ HUBER THAYER HALL WEST POINT NY 10996-1786		
1	DIRECTOR US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL D DR D SMITH 2800 POWDER MILL RD ADELPHI MD 20783-1197		

<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	OASD C3I MR BUCHHEISTER RM 3D174 6000 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20310-6000
1	OUSD AT STRT TAC SYS DR SCHNEITER RM 3E130 3090 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20310-3090
1	OUSD AT S&T AIR WARFARE RM 3E139 R MUTZELBUG 3090 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20301-3090
1	OUSD AT S&T LAND WARFARE RM EB1060 A VIILU 3090 DEFENSE PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20310-3090
1	UNDER SEC OF THE ARMY DUSA OR ROOM 2E660 102 ARMY PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20310-0102
1	ASST SECY ARMY ACQUISITION LOGISTICS TCHNLGY SARD ZD ROOM 2E673 103 ARMY PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20310-0103

<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	ASST SECY ARMY ACQUISITION LOGISTICS TCHNLGY SARD ZP ROOM 2E661 103 ARMY PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20310-0103
1	ASST SECY ARMY ACQUISITION LOGISTICS TCHNLGY SAAL ZS ROOM 3E448 103 ARMY PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20310-0103
1	OADC SOPS FORCE DEV DIR DAMO FDZ ROOM 3A522 460 ARMY PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20310-0460
1	HQDA ODCSPER DAPE MR (RM 2C733) 300 ARMY PENTAGON WASHINGTON DC 20310-0300
1	US ARMY MATERIEL CMD DEP CHF OF STAFF FOR RDA SCIENCE TECH ENG AMCRDA 5001 EISENHOWER AVE ALEXANDRIA VA 22333-0001
1	US ARMY ARMAMENT RDEC AMSTA AR TD M FISETTE BLDG 1 PICATINNY ARSENAL NJ 07806-5000

<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>	<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
1	US ARMY MATERIEL CMD DEP CHF OF STAFF FOR RDA SCIENCE TECH ENG AMCRDA T 5001 EISENHOWER AVE ALEXANDRIA VA 22333-0001	1	US ARMY TRADOC BATTLELAB INTEGRATION TECH B CONCEPTS DIR ATCD B FT MONROE VA 23561-5000
1	ECBC AMSSB RTD J ZARZYCKI 5183 BLACKHAWK RD APG MD 21010-5424	1	ARMY TRADOC ANL CTR ATRC W MR KEINTZ WSMR NM 88002-5502
1	US ARMY MISSILE RDEC AMSMI RD W MCCORKLE REDSTONE ARSENAL AL 35898-5240	1	US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL SL PLANS AND PGMS MGR WSMR NM 88002-5513
1	NATICK SOLDIER CENTER SBCN T P BRANDLER KANSAS STREET NATICK MA 01760-5056	1	US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL SL EA R FLORES WSMR NM 88002-5513
1	US ARMY TANK AUTOMTV RDEC AMSTA TR J CHAPIN WARREN MI 48397-5000	1	US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL SL EM J PALOMO WSMR NM 88002-5513
1	US ARMY INFO SYS ENGRG CMD AMSEL IE TD F JENIA FT HUACHUCA AZ 85613-5300	1	US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL SL EI J NOWAK FT MONMOUTH NJ 07703-5602
1	US ARMY SIM TRNG INST CMD AMSTI CG M MACEDONIA 12350 RESEARCH PKWY ORLANDO FL 32826-3726		

<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>	<u>NO. OF COPIES</u>	<u>ORGANIZATION</u>
	<u>ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND</u>	1	US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL SL E M STARKS
1	US ARMY DEV TEST COM CSTE DTC TT T APG MD 21005-5055	1	US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL SL EA D BAYLOR
1	US ARMY EVALUATION CENTER CSTE AEC SV D DELATTRE 4120 SUSQUEHANNA AVE APG MD 21005-3013	1	US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL SL EM J FEENEY
1	US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL SL BN D FARENWALD APG MD 21010-5423	1	US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL SL EC E PANUSKA
2	US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL SL DR WADE J BEILFUSS		
4	US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL SL B MS SMITH J FRANZ M VOGEL W WINNER		
1	US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL SL BA M RITONDO		
1	US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL SL BD J MORRISSEY		
2	US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL SL BE D BELY R SANDMEYER		
1	US ARMY RESEARCH LAB AMSRL SL BG A YOUNG		

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
<small>Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project(0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.</small>				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)		2. REPORT DATE March 2001		3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final, 1992 - 2001
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Black Hawk Helicopter Vibration Analysis Due to Main Rotor Damage, Directional Constituents of the Resultant Vibrations			5. FUNDING NUMBERS 1L162618AH80	
6. AUTHOR(S) Joseph C. Fries				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Laboratory ATTN: AMSRL-SL-BD Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5068			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER ARL-TR-2442	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAMES(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)			10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.			12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) <p>Black Hawk helicopter cockpit vibrations due to main rotor damage are presented based upon an analysis and computer code originally developed in-house in the Air Systems Branch (ASB) of the U.S. Army Research Laboratory (ARL). Ballistic damage is simulated by removing various amounts of the outer radius of one blade of the rotor set of four blades. This simulated blade damage causes the rotor to be unbalanced allowing undesirable vibrations to be transmitted into the fixed-system airframe. This work considers the longitudinal, lateral, and vertical cockpit amplitude and phase components of the vibrations that make up the total vector vibrations that a cockpit occupant would feel. If the vibrations are severe enough, they could lead to attrition of the aircraft. This vibration data can be used in conjunction with historical human-vibration-tolerance data for later work toward determining a pilot's capability to function in the helicopter cockpit vibration environment.</p>				
14. SUBJECT TERMS helicopter, vibrations			15. NUMBER OF PAGES 18	
			16. PRICE CODE	
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT UNCLASSIFIED	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE UNCLASSIFIED	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT UNCLASSIFIED	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL	

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

USER EVALUATION SHEET/CHANGE OF ADDRESS

This Laboratory undertakes a continuing effort to improve the quality of the reports it publishes. Your comments/answers to the items/questions below will aid us in our efforts.

1. ARL Report Number/Author ARL-TR-2442 (Fries) Date of Report March 2001

2. Date Report Received _____

3. Does this report satisfy a need? (Comment on purpose, related project, or other area of interest for which the report will be used.) _____

4. Specifically, how is the report being used? (Information source, design data, procedure, source of ideas, etc.) _____

5. Has the information in this report led to any quantitative savings as far as man-hours or dollars saved, operating costs avoided, or efficiencies achieved, etc? If so, please elaborate. _____

6. General Comments. What do you think should be changed to improve future reports? (Indicate changes to organization, technical content, format, etc.) _____

CURRENT
ADDRESS

Organization

Name

E-mail Name

Street or P.O. Box No.

City, State, Zip Code

7. If indicating a Change of Address or Address Correction, please provide the Current or Correct address above and the Old or Incorrect address below.

OLD
ADDRESS

Organization

Name

Street or P.O. Box No.

City, State, Zip Code

(Remove this sheet, fold as indicated, tape closed, and mail.)
(DO NOT STAPLE)